From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: [b](6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the OHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA ASAP.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:51 AM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John
Cc: Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy; Colburn, Brent
Subject: RE: Statement

apologies, trying to couple on my bb.

Congress requires DHS’ National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

-----Original Message-----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:48 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Sandweg, John
Cc: Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy; Colburn, Brent
Subject: RE: Statement

The first para is unintelligible. Do you want me to edit or do you want to correct?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:46 AM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John
Cc: Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: RE: Statement

How about this?
Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

-----Original Message-----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:40 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Sandweg, John
Cc: Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we say something about the employees being disciplined in the on the record?

-----Original Message-----
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:37 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

According to Bill Flynn, they were not looking at social media. They exceeded their writ by doing analysis, but it was based on traditional media sources.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:25 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Just talked to our chief privacy officer. At the very least they violated the situational awareness pii because these individuals did not have the authority to be looking at social media and drawing conclusions based on that analysis. That is why we changed the language to privacy standards.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:24 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement
What is the violation of privacy standards?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:22 AM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Most recent statement is attached.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:19 AM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

I'm happy to talk with him, but need the talkers. Also, still think we need the pushback statement. We have included in the most recent draft that the info came from open source material.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:16 AM 
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Flynn, William 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Subject: Re: Statement

Background: IP (POD division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some "analysis" to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn
Acting Assistant Secretary
Office of Infrastructure Protection
US Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 06:47 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Based on his review and facts presented to him at the time, Bill Flynn does not believe the report in fact reflects folks having scoured the OWS twitter feeds or otherwise accessing social media in violation of privacy standards. He is double-checking to confirm.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM  
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Where we are on this

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement
----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: [b](6) [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.
Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: [b](6) [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: [b](6) [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

(b)(5)

----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: [b](6) [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: RE: Statement

(b)(5)

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.
-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.
Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.
Accurate?
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that. 

Michael Beland 
Chief of Staff 
Office of Infrastructure Protection 
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina 
Subject: RE: Statement 

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context. 

-----Original Message----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin 
Subject: Re: Statement 

If we are going this route, we need to oush back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership. 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: (b)(6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Suzanne and Mike, 

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap. 

Here is the link: 


Please let me know if this is ok. 

Bob
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M 
Subject: Statement 

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Congress requires DHS’ National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

Can we say something about the employees being disciplined in the on the record?

According to Bill Flynn, they were not looking at social media. They exceeded their writ by doing analysis, but it was based on traditional media sources.
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Just talked to our chief privacy officer. At the very least they violated the situational awareness pii because these individuals did not have the authority to be looking at social media and drawing conclusions based on that analysis. That is why we changed the language to privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:24 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

What is the violation of privacy standards?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:22 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Most recent statement is attached.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:19 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

I'm happy to talk with him, but need the talkers. Also, still think we need the pushback statement. We have included in the most recent draft that the info came from open source material.

----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:16 AM
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ------
From: Flynn, William
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Background: IP (POD division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some "analysis" to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn
Acting Assistant Secretary
Office of Infrastructure Protection
US Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ------
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 06:47 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement
Based on his review and facts presented to him at the time, Bill Flynn does not believe the report in fact reflects folks having scoured the OWS twitter feeds or otherwise accessing social media in violation of privacy standards. He is double-checking to confirm.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message -----  
From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM  
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message -----  
From: Sandweg, John  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Loopy Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

DUIS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.  
Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement
----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(5) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement  

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(5) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Couple changes,  

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(5) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.  

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded, 
Accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to oushi back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.
----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Øeland, Michael  
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Suzanne and Mike,  

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA asap.  

Here is the link:  


Please let me know if this is ok.  

Bob

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Statement  

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Working on it. emails crossed. Also adding some background per WH.

-----Original Message-----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:42 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Shlossman, Amy; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: RE: Statement

Pete--look at what u just sent below. Needs to be edited.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:41 AM
To: Shlossman, Amy; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Statement

Right, which according to MEC was a violate of privacy standards. Updated.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Shlossman, Amy
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:38 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Statement

+matt

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
According to Bill Flynn, they were not looking at social media. They exceeded their writ by doing analysis, but it was based on traditional media sources.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:25 AM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Just talked to our chief privacy officer. At the very least they violated the situational awareness pii because these individuals did not have the authority to be looking at social media and drawing conclusions based on that analysis. That is why we changed the language to privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:24 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

What is the violation of privacy standards?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:22 AM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Most recent statement is attached.

------ Original Message ------  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:19 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

I'm happy to talk with him, but need the talkers. Also, still think we need the pushback statement. We have included in the most recent draft that the info came from open source material.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:16 AM 
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Flynn, William 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Background: IP (POO division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some "analysis" to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn
Acting Assistant Secretary
Office of Infrastructure Protection
US Department of Homeland Security
----- Original Message -----
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 06:47 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Based on his review and facts presented to him at the time, Bill Flynn does not believe the report in fact reflects folks having scoured the OWS twitter feeds or otherwise accessing social media in violation of privacy standards. He is double-checking to confirm.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Plan is to push back on the inaccuracies and issue the statement, but we are waiting on the WH.

----- Original Message -----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message -----
From: Sandweg, John
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM


To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.
Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?
If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.
Accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on Hsin, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina
Subject: RE: Statement
Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to ouch back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/IP markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA ASAP.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Right, which according to MEC was a violate of privacy standards. Updated.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Shlossman, Amy
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:38 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Statement

+matt

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:36 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

According to Bill Flynn, they were not looking at social media. They exceeded their writ by doing analysis, but it was based on traditional media sources.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:25 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Just talked to our chief privacy officer. At the very least they violated the situational awareness pii because these individuals did not have the authority to be looking at social
media and drawing conclusions based on that analysis. That is why we changed the language to privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:24 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

What is the violation of privacy standards?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:22 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Most recent statement is attached.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:19 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

I'm happy to talk with him, but need the talkers. Also, still think we need the pushback statement. We have included in the most recent draft that the info came from open source material.

----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:16 AM
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

(b)(5)
Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Flynn, William  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Background: IP (POD division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some "analysis" to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
US Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 06:47 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Based on his review and facts presented to him at the time, Bill Flynn does not believe the report in fact reflects folks having scoured the OWS twitter feeds or otherwise accessing social media in violation of privacy standards. He is double-checking to confirm.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Plan is to push back on the inaccuracies and issue the statement, but we are waiting on the WH.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kroloff, Noah 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM 
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy 
Subject: Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy 
Subject: Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Subject: Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John 
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

GUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.  
Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:00 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:00 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement
If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

More strongly worded.  
Accurate?
----- Original Message -----
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to ouch back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.
Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:25 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Just talked to our chief privacy officer. At the very least they violated the situational awareness pit because these individuals did not have the authority to be looking at social media and drawing conclusions based on that analysis. That is why we changed the language to privacy standards.

----- Original Message ------
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:24 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

What is the violation of privacy standards?

----- Original Message ------
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:19 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Most recent statement is attached.

(b)(5)

----- Original Message ------
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:22 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

I'm happy to talk with him, but need the talkers. Also, still think we need the pushback statement. We have included in the most recent draft that the info came from open source material.
----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:16 AM  
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Flynn, William  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Background: IP (POD division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some "analysis" to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
US Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 06:47 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Based on his review and facts presented to him at the time, Bill Flynn does not believe the report in fact reflects folks having scoured the OWS twitter feeds or otherwise accessing social media in violation of privacy standards. He is double-checking to confirm.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM 
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Plan is to push back on the inaccuracies and issue the statement, but we are waiting on the WH.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kroloff, Noah 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM 
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Where r we on this.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.  
Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Can you confirm that this accurate?  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

111
----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement  

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM 
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

More strongly worded. Accurate? 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that. 

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina 
Subject: RE: Statement 

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context. 

-----Original Message----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin 
Subject: Re: Statement
If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: McConnell, Scott; Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/IP markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Statement

Let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Most recent statement is attached.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:19 AM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement 

I'm happy to talk with him, but need the talkers. Also, still think we need the pushback statement. We have included in the most recent draft that the info came from open source material.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:16 AM  
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement 

Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff 
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Flynn, William  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Background: IP (POD division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some “analysis” to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn
Acting Assistant Secretary
Office of Infrastructure Protection
US Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 06:47 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Based on his review and facts presented to him at the time, Bill Flynn does not believe the report in fact reflects folks having scoured the OWS twitter feeds or otherwise accessing social media in violation of privacy standards. He is double-checking to confirm.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message -----  
From: Sandweg, John  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Krollof, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

(b)(5)
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: Re: Statement 

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement. 
Caitlin Durkovich 
Chief of Staff 
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: Re: Statement 

(b)(5)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: RE: Statement 

(b)(5)

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission. 

-----Original Message----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out. 

Michael Beland 
Chief of Staff 
Office of Infrastructure Protection 
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security 
(b)(6) (m) 
(b)(6) 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM 
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

More strongly worded.
Accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: RE: Statement  

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: RE: Statement  

If we are going this route, we need to owsch back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Suzanne and Mike,  
Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.  

Here is the link:  
Please let me know if this is ok.  
Bob
let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
I'm happy to talk with him, but need the talkers. Also, still think we need the pushback statement. We have included in the most recent draft that the info came from open source material.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:26 AM
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Krolloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Flynn, William
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Krolloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy
Subject: Re: Statement

Background: IP (POD division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some "analysis" to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn
Acting Assistant Secretary
Office of Infrastructure Protection
US Department of Homeland Security

(b)(6)
Based on his review and facts presented to him at the time, Bill Flynn does not believe the report in fact reflects folks having scoured the OWS twitter feeds or otherwise accessing social media in violation of privacy standards. He is double-checking to confirm.

Plan is to push back on the inaccuracies and issue the statement, but we are waiting on the WH.

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.
+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed,

----- Original Message -----
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

(b)(5)

----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.

Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.  

-----Original Message-----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.  
Accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: [b](6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to ough back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:15 AM
To: Chandler, Matthew; Colburn, Brent
Subject: Re: Hastings

Just talked to our chief privacy officer. At the very least they violated the situational awareness pill because these individuals did not have the authority to be looking at social media and drawing conclusions based on that analysis. That is why we changed the language to privacy standards.

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:09 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Chandler, Matthew; Colburn, Brent
Subject: RE: Hastings

In statements to press recently?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: Chandler, Matthew; Stevens, Clark; Colburn, Brent
Subject: Re: Hastings

And we stated a number of times that our privacy standards precluded us from monitoring the protests.

From: Chandler, Matthew
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:06 AM
To: (b) (6) Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent
Subject: Re: Hastings

It's on HSIN - basically all fusion centers and a fair number of state and locals.

The doc was released by wikileaks however.

-----Original Message-----
From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:04 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: RE: Hastings

Who has access to the network? Also, how does it violate privacy policy if it's all from articles?
To: Stevens, Clark; Colburn, Brent
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Yes. But without authorization.

From: Boogaard, Peter [mailto:(b) (6)]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:25 AM
To: Colburn, Brent [mailto:(b) (6)]
Cc: Chandler, Matthew [mailto:(b) (6)]
Subject: Re: Hastings

Just so I'm clear, it was chs employees. Correct?

How about this?

(b) (5)

From: [mailto:(b) (6)]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:20 AM
To: Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Saw that, pls circulate updated draft.

From: Colburn, Brent [mailto:(b) (6)]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:15 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter [mailto:(b) (6)]
Cc: Chandler, Matthew [mailto:(b) (6)]
Subject: Re: Hastings

Looks like this was in playbook this AM, so expect follow ups--Pete, can you work the changes below?
From: Colburn, Brent
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:00 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

(b) (6)-second thoughts on deploying? Still think it's good to get in there if possible.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:52 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Any updates?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:33 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

No.

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: RE: Hastings

Have you gotten any more qs?

------Original Message------
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: [b] (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Hastings

Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
And we stated a number of times that our privacy standards precluded us from monitoring the protests.

From: Chandler, Matthew  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:06 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent  
Subject: Re: Hastings  

It's on HSIN - basically all fusion centers and a fair number of state and locals.

The doc was released by wikileaks however.

Who has access to the network? Also, how does it violate privacy policy if it's all from articles?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter  
To: Colburn, Brent  
Cc: Chandler, Matthew  
Subject: Re: Hastings  

Yes. But without authorization.

Just so I'm clear, it was dhs employees. Correct?
From: Colburn, Brent
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:15 AM
To: Stevens, Clark; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Looks like this was in playbook this AM, so expect follow ups--Pete, can you work the changes below?

From: Colburn, Brent
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:14 AM
To: Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Were the individuals who posted it employees? Also - can we add that the document was a collection of information from public sources?

From: Colburn, Brent
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:00 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

(b)(6) Second thoughts on deploying? Still think its good to get in there if possible.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:52 PM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Any updates?
No.

Have you gotten any more qs?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: [b] (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Hastings

Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
This is the updated statement we are working with. Still waiting for clearance.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:35 AM 
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth; Peacock, Nelson 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings 
+Nelson.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kroloff, Noah 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:29 AM 
To: Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Colburn, Brent 
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:19 AM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:07 PM 
To: Colburn, Brent; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

sending to [b](6)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Colburn, Brent 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:05 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Concur--and Pete, WH Comms is good with this response too, right?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:00 PM 
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM 
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

Concur.

-----Original Message----- 
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:57 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM 
To: Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings
Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM 
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings 

Nppd says it is accurate, but has concerns we are over reacting. I disagree, but wanted to make sure others didn't have a similar opinion.

Final statement is below.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM 
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings 

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth 
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings 

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM 
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings 

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:28 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Yes. But without authorization.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:26 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Just so I'm clear, it was dhs employees. Correct?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:25 AM
To: Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

How about this?

(b) (5)

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:20 AM
To: Calburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Saw that, pls circulate updated draft.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:15 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Looks like this was in playbook this AM, so expect follow ups--Pete, can you work the changes below?
From: [b] (6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:14 AM
To: Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Were the individuals who posted it employees? Also - can we add that the document was a collection of information from public sources?

From: Colburn, Brent [b] (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:00 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter [b] (6)
Cc: Chandler, Matthew <Matthew.Chandler@HQ.DHS.GOV>
Subject: Re: Hastings

[b] (6) second thoughts on deploying? Still think its good to get in there if possible.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:52 PM
To: [b] (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Any updates?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:33 PM
To: [b] (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

No.

From: [b] (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: RE: Hastings

Have you gotten any more qs?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter [b] (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: [b] (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Hastings

138
Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
How about this?

Saw that, pls circulate updated draft.

Looks like this was in playbook this AM, so expect follow ups--Pete, can you work the changes below?
Clark--second thoughts on deploying? Still think its good to get in there if possible.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:52 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Any updates?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:33 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

No.

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: RE: Hastings

Have you gotten any more qs?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: Stevens, Clark
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Hastings

Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:20 AM
To: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Cc: Boogaard, Peter
Subject: Re: Hastings

Yes

From: Colburn, Brent
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:15 AM
To: Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Looks like this was in playbook this AM, so expect follow ups--Pete, can you work the changes below?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:14 AM
To: Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

(b) (5)

From: Colburn, Brent
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:00 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

(b) (6) second thoughts on deploying? Still think its good to get in there if possible.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

Any updates?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:33 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings
No.

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: RE: Hastings

Have you gotten any more qs?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: Stevens, Clark
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Hastings

Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
----- Original Message -----  
From: Kroloff, Noah 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM 
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement 

Where are we on this?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement 

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.  

That said, assuming he isn’t going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Statement 

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John
Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.
Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: RE: Statement

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)
(b)(6) (m)
(b)(6)
----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.  
Accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(5) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: Re: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to oush back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Belard, Michael
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and npdp/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:03 PM
To: Colburn, Brent
Subject: Re: Hastings

So I'm holding. Just to be clear.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:01 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

This doesn't explain what it is or why it was put together - which seems to be the focus. Not sure I'm gonna hear back, but if I do will circle back.

From: Boogaard, Peter [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:52 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Colburn, Brent [Redacted] Chandler, Matthew [Redacted]
Subject: Re: Hastings

Any updates?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:33 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Re: Hastings

No.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: RE: Hastings

Have you gotten any more qs?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Hastings
Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
Any updates?

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:33 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew  
Subject: Re: Hastings

No.

Have you gotten any more qs?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter (b) (6)  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter  
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew  
Subject: RE: Hastings

Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
No.

Have you gotten any more qs?

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew
Subject: Hastings

Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John 
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Spaulding, Suzanne 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: Re: Statement

DWS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.
Caitlin Durkovich
Chief of Staff
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM 
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: Re: Statement 

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: RE: Statement 

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message -----
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From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

More strongly worded. Accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

+Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: McConnell, Scott; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from npdp leadership.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and npdp/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA ASAP.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.  
Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: McConnell, Scott; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: RE: Statement 

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM 
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: McConnell, Scott; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: McConnell, Scott; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.
Accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Give me a call and we can discuss.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.

Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.
----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

More strongly worded.  
Accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement  

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message ------
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/IP markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA ASAP.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message ------
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Proposed statement. Let me know when we are good to send.
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:07 PM
To: Colburn, Brent; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

sending to (b)(6)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Colburn, Brent
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:05 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Concur--and Pete, WH Comms is good with this response too, right?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:00 PM
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

Concur.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:57 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM
To: Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich
----- Original Message -----
From: Sandweg, John
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew;
Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy;
Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Nppd says it is accurate, but has concerns we are over reacting. I disagree, but wanted to
make sure others didn't have a similar opinion.

Final statement is below.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy;
Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy;
Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this
issue.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with NPPD leadership right now.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b)(5)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b)(5)
Mary Ellen Callahan, Sandweg, Grossman and Noah all think this is the right approach.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:59 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Only change is from policy to standards.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Can you send me the mary ellen revised statement? Spaulding is asking about privacy violation part.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:28 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Fw: Statement  

Can you confirm.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.
Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

More strongly worded. Accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement  

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM 
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Suzanne and Mike, 

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/IP markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA ASAP.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is OK.

Bob

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M 
Subject: Statement 

Let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM 
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings 

Concur. 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:57 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings 

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate. 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM 
To: Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings 

Caitlin durkovich 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings 

Who in NPPD? 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM 
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings 

Nppd says it is accurate, but has concerns we are over reacting. I disagree, but wanted to make sure others didn’t have a similar opinion.
Final statement is below.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings  

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings  

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012  8:54 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings  

Confirming with NPPD leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings  

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John
Subject: Michael Hastings
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:59 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Re: Statement

Only change is from policy to standards.

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:58 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you send me the mary ellen revised statement? Spaulding is asking about privacy violation part.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:28 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Fw: Statement

Can you confirm.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:24 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: [b][6][b] Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:07 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: [b][b][6][b] Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message -----
If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(5) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Couple changes.  

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security 
(b)(6) (m) 
(b)(6)
----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.
Accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Robert M
Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/IP markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M 
Subject: Statement 

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Caitlin Durkovich

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Sandweg, John  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM 
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Nppd says it is accurate, but has concerns we are over reacting. I disagree, but wanted to make sure others didn’t have a similar opinion.

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM 
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth 
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don’t think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth 
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen 
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings
I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Kroloff, Noah 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John
Subject: Michael Hastings
(b) (5)
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:35 PM
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Nppd says it is accurate, but has concerns we are over reacting. I disagree, but wanted to make sure others didn't have a similar opinion.

Final statement is below.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.
Can you confirm.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement
Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message ------
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ------
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.
Accurate?
Mr. Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/IP markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob
let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: Re: Statement

I disagree about the nature of the report. First of all, we were pretty adamant about not monitoring OWS, and this makes it appear that was false. Since we didn’t approve of this, and removed it from the site, I think we should distance ourselves from this.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David 
Subject: RE: Statement

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.
----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

More strongly worded.  
Accurate?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on Hsin, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

(b)(5)
----- Original Message -----  
From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to oush back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from npdp leadership.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and npdp/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Statement
let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

--- Original Message --

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: RE: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

--- Original Message ---

From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to Hsin and one cannot access the materials on Hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we’ll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded.
Accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina
Subject: RE: Statement
Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: [b][6][b] Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement  

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: [b][6][b] Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Suzanne and Mike,  

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.  

Here is the link:  


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Thanks. Any concerns with the updated statement?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM 
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

The document was posted to one part of hs in on 10/18/11 and another part of hs in shortly before that. As soon as the posting was discovered by leadership, it was taken off of hs in on 10/18/11.

Michael Beland 
Chief of Staff 
Office of Infrastructure Protection 
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:55 PM 
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM 
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement
We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsln and one cannot access the materials on hsln without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6) (m)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

More strongly worded. Accurate?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr. Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement  

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message ------  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b)(6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message ------  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Statement  

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----
From: Callahan, Mary Ellen
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy;
Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:54 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John;
Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John;
Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John;
Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM 
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John 
Subject: Michael Hastings
Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

More strongly worded. Accurate?
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that. 

Michael Beland 
Chief of Staff 
Office of Infrastructure Protection 
National Protection and Programs Directorate 
U.S. Department of Homeland Security 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Durkovich, Caitlin 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina 
Subject: RE : Statement 

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context. 

-----Original Message----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin 
Subject: Re: Statement  

If we are going this route, we need to oush back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership. 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael 
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin 
Subject: Re: Statement 

Suzanne and Mike, 

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap. 

Here is the link: 


Please let me know if this is ok.
let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Confirming with npd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----
From: Kroloff, Noah
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.
Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:14 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Re: Statement

Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:12 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Subject: Re: Statement

Yep
Coming in a few

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:11 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you draft a stronger statement in line with what I wrote. I am in a car but home in 25.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:10 PM
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

Thanks!
We would like to get a statement to them immediately to get the blog updated before it gets picked up by others.

Bob

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Beland, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland
Chief of Staff
Office of Infrastructure Protection
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security
----- Original Message -----
From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina
Subject: Re: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to oush back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from npfd leadership.

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: (b)(6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and npfd/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Can you draft a stronger statement in line with what I wrote. I am in a car but home in 25.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:10 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Thanks!  
We would like to get a statement to them immediately to get the blog updated before it gets picked up by others.  
Bob

----- Original Message -----  
From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement  

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.  

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement  

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.  

-----Original Message-----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement
If we are going this route, we need to couch back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Eply, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement  

Suzanne and Mike,  

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/JP markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to OPA asap.  

Here is the link:  

Please let me know if this is ok.  

Bob

----- Original Message -----  
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Statement  

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John
Subject: Michael Hastings
If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from NPPD leadership.

----- Original Message -----
From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael
Cc: Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and NPPD/Ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:


Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

----- Original Message -----
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Statement

Let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man.
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:17 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: Google Alert - "department of homeland security"

Send me the link

From: (b) (6) 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:14 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter  
Subject: Re: Google Alert - "department of homeland security"

Actually he posted the report. Looks like from IP at nppd

From: (b) (6) 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:10 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter  
Subject: Re: Google Alert - "department of homeland security"

His inquiry mentions that Stratfor had the report.

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:01 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: Re: Google Alert - "department of homeland security"

Wtf. He emailed us about this 20 mins ago.

From: (b) (6) 
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:00 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter  
Subject: Fw: Google Alert - "department of homeland security"

Rolling stone story

From: Google Alerts [mailto:googlealerts-noreply@gmail.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 06:59 PM  
To: sy.lee@dhs.gov <sy.lee@dhs.gov>  
Subject: Google Alert - "department of homeland security"

9 new results for "department of homeland security"

News

Exclusive: Homeland Security Kept Tabs on Occupy Wall Street 
RollingStone.com (blog)  
As Occupy Wall Street spread across the nation last fall, sparking protests in more than 70 cities, the Department of Homeland Security began keeping tabs on the movement. An internal DHS report entitled “SPECIAL COVERAGE: Occupy Wall Street,” dated ...
See all stories on this topic »
Workshops Target Awareness of Cyber Security

Clark County will host two initial kickoff workshops at 8:30 am and 10 am Wednesday to provide an overview of cyber security awareness training that Nevada has been selected by the US Department of Homeland Security to receive.

Department of Defense Provider Signs Lease at Advance Realty's Picatinny ...

By Mel Fabrikant Tuesday, February 28, 2012, 04:21 PM EST A technology services company serving agencies within the Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, and several segments of the Intelligence Community, EOIR Technologies will ...

Government says airport screening machines safe for passengers

By Jim Barnett Washington (CNN) -- Studies by the US government and professional organizations conclude the level of radiation emitted by full-body airport scanners is safe for passengers, according to a Department of Homeland Security Inspector ...

WikiLeaks: Emails Leak Exposes Inner Workings of Private Intel Firm Stratfor ...

Firms with ties to Stratfor include Coca-Cola, Goldman Sachs, Dow Chemical, and sectors of the US government — including the Department of Homeland Security, the Marines Corps and the Defense Intelligence Agency. Coke asked Stratfor to keep tabs on ...

Congresswoman Bordallo Attends 2012 IGIA Plenary Meeting OIA Awards $6.3 ...

Congresswoman Bordallo reiterated that the Department of Homeland Security should, at a minimum, grant Guam parole authority for visitors from China, and that inclusion of China in the Guam-CNMI visa waiver program is critical to the continued growth ...

Napolitano Insists Mexico Drug War Not a Failure

Drug War Chronicle

by Phillip Smith, February 28, 2012, 03:03am Department of Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano defended hard-line US drug policies and Washington's approach to prohibition-related violence in Mexico Monday even as Central American leaders ...

Recommended: Controversial airport screening machines are safe, new report shows

Older generation full-body scanners deployed at the nation's airports are safe even for the most frequent travelers, according to the Department of Homeland Security's Office of the Inspector General (OIG).

Dormant BoDog domain seized

The US Department of Homeland Security seized the domain name of Bodog.com today, and announced that founder Calvin Ayre and three other men have been indicted on charges of operating an illegal gambling business and conspiring to commit money ...

This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.

Delete this alert.
Create another alert.
Manage your alerts.
If you could send me the report, I'll try to get a response.

Dead Sir:

Hope this email finds you well. I'm doing a story about a DHS report called "SPECIAL COVERAGE: Occupy Wall Street" written in October 2011. Stratfor, the private intelligence firm, was in possession of this report. The report states: "The growing support for the OWS movement has expanded the protests impact and increased the potential for violence," among other things.

1) Do you have any comment on this? The report indicates that DHS views the Occupy Wall Street movement as a threat to homeland security.

2) What actions did DHS take to respond to this threat?

3) Did DHS, at a federal level, help local authorities coordinate its response to OWS?

4) What other kinds of monitoring did DHS do on the Occupy Wall Street movement?

Please let me know your thoughts if you have a moment. I'm at 212-945-8073 or 802-864-3142.

All the best, and thanks,

Michael

Michael Hastings
Rolling Stone
Office: [Redacted]
International Mobile: [Redacted]
Wtf. He emailed us about this 20 mins ago.

Rolling stone story

9 new results for "department of homeland security"

Exclusive: Homeland Security Kept Tabs on Occupy Wall Street
RollingStone.com (blog)
As Occupy Wall Street spread across the nation last fall, sparking protests in more than 70 cities, the Department of Homeland Security began keeping tabs on the movement. An internal DHS report entitled “SPECIAL COVERAGE: Exclusive: Homeland Security Kept Tabs on Occupy Wall Street,” dated...
See all stories on this topic »

Workshops Target Awareness of Cyber Security
KLAS-TV
Clark County will host two initial kickoff workshops at 8:30 am and 10 am Wednesday to provide an overview of cyber security awareness training that Nevada has been selected by the US Department of Homeland Security to receive.
See all stories on this topic »

Department of Defense Provider Signs Lease at Advance Realty's Picatinny ...
ParanumPost.com
By Mel Fabrikant Tuesday, February 28, 2012, 04:21 PM EST A technology services company serving agencies within the Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, and several segments of the Intelligence Community, EOIR Technologies will...
See all stories on this topic »

Government says airport screening machines safe for passengers
CNN
By Jim Barnett Washington (CNN) — Studies by the US government and professional organizations conclude the level of radiation emitted by full-body airport scanners is safe for passengers, according to a Department of Homeland Security Inspector...
See all stories on this topic »

WikiLeaks: Emails Leak Exposes Inner Workings of Private Intel Firm Stratfor ...
YouTube
Firms with ties to Stratfor include Coca-Cola, Goldman Sachs, Dow Chemical, and sectors of the US government — including the Department of Homeland Security, the Marines Corps and the Defense Intelligence Agency. Coke asked Stratfor to keep tabs on...
See all stories on this topic »
Congresswoman Bordallo reiterates that the Department of Homeland Security should, at a minimum, grant Guam parole authority for visitors from China, and that inclusion of China in the Guam-CNMI visa waiver program is critical to the continued growth ...
See all stories on this topic »

Napolitano Insists Mexico Drug War Not a Failure
Drug War Chronicle
by Phillip Smith, February 28, 2012, 03:03am Department of Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano defended hard-line US drug policies and Washington’s approach to prohibition-related violence in Mexico Monday even as Central American leaders ...
See all stories on this topic »

Recommended: Controversial airport screening machines are safe, new report shows
msnbc.com (blog)
By msnbc.com news services Older generation full-body scanners deployed at the nation's airports are safe even for the most frequent travelers, according to the Department of Homeland Security's Office of the Inspector General (OIG).
See all stories on this topic »

Dormant BoDog domain seized
Examiner.com
The US Department of Homeland Security seized the domain name of Bodog.com today, and announced that founder Calvin Ayre and three other men have been indicted on charges of operating an illegal gambling business and conspiring to commit money ...
See all stories on this topic »

This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.
Delete this alert.
Create another alert.
Manage your alerts.
Thanks.

From: Chandler, Matthew
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 5:15 PM
To: media@inquiry@dhs.gov
Cc: Colburn, Brent
Subject: Re: Urgent: Request for comment for Rolling Stone story

Dear Sir:

Hope this email finds you well. I'm doing a story about a DHS report called "SPECIAL COVERAGE: Occupy Wall Street" written in October 2011. Stratfor, the private intelligence firm, was in possession of this report. The report states: "The growing support for the OWS movement has expanded the protests impact and increased the potential for violence," among other things.

1) Do you have any comment on this? The report indicates that DHS views the Occupy Wall Street movement as a threat to homeland security.

2) What actions did DHS take to respond to this threat?

3) Did DHS, at a federal level, help local authorities coordinate its response to OWS?

4) What other kinds of monitoring did DHS do on the Occupy Wall Street movement?

Please let me know your thoughts if you have a moment. I'm at 212-945-8073 or 802-864-3142.

All the best, and thanks,

Michael

---

Michael Hastings
Rolling Stone
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 9:12 AM
To: [b] (6)
Subject: RE: Publishing for Flight Attendants

yep

From: [b] (6)
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 9:11 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Subject: FW: Publishing for Flight Attendants

Are you good with this? I think its fine

From: [b] (6)
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 4:58 PM
To: [b] (6)
Cc: SMITH, LAUREL
Subject: FW: Publishing for Flight Attendants

Laurel is checking with her field folks, but is the below article still ok from the OPA perspective? Susanne called Laurel and said they would like to re-use this e-news article for the magazine. Thanks!

From: Susanne Lowen
Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2012 9:58 AM
To: SMITH, LAUREL; [b] (6)
Subject: Publishing for Flight Attendants

[b] (6) Laurel,

We are preparing to publish our membership magazine, Flightlog, and would like to run an article on the Blue Lightning campaign. We could run something similar to the article that appeared in our e-newsletter below. Is there any new information that we might add at this point in time? Also, are you still interested in input from our AFA Air Safety, Health and Security Department on the training video for Flight Attendants? I would very much like to mention this collaboration in the article, if possible. Thank you for all of your important work.

Susanne

--

Susanne Lowen
6,700 Flight Attendants participated in the voting process. They sent an unequivocal message that their sacrifices must be recognized and that they will participate in the benefits of the merger. After five years of stalling and road blocks by management, the Joint Negotiating Committee (JNC) delivered a tentative agreement that gave members a chance to voice their position directly to management. AFA leadership will meet next week to set in motion a plan that maximizes the leverage created by an engaged, unified work force.

Press Release

Mesaba
Pinnacle Airlines, which merged with Mesaba Airlines in January, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy reorganization. As the certified representative for Mesaba Flight Attendants, AFA will use its standing to participate in the bankruptcy process and ensure that the Flight Attendants’ rights are protected throughout Pinnacle’s restructuring.

Press Release

Spirit
On March 9, Spirit Flight Attendants officially asked the NMB to declare that negotiations are at an impasse and requested a proffer of arbitration, a step required by the Railway Labor Act prior to a 30-day “cooling off” period. This period leads to a strike deadline which often encourages focused negotiations. In October, Spirit Flight Attendants overwhelmingly authorized a strike by 98.4 percent should management fail to negotiate a new contract. With AFA’s trademarked strike strategy, CHAOS™ or Create Havoc Around Our System™, a strike can affect the entire system or a single flight. The union decides when, where and how to strike without notice to management or passengers.

Press Release

American Eagle
American Airlines’ bankruptcy is affecting the American Eagle Flight Attendants and their negotiations. American Eagle Flight Attendants are outraged that, under the cloak of bankruptcy, management is attacking their contract even though American Eagle is a profitable subsidiary of AMR. Just prior to the AMR bankruptcy filing, American Eagle Flight Attendants were negotiating for a new contract and management had already agreed to significant quality of life improvements. AFA continues to vigorously oppose unnecessary concessions and is working to minimize the impact of Chapter 11 on Flight Attendants.

American Eagle Picketing Events: April 10 (DFW) and April 12 (ORD). For more information, check www.afaeagle.com.
within our local communities will strengthen our own campaigns and actions.

By embracing the principles of direct action, The 99% Spring movement will mobilize communities across America to have a lasting impact. Learn how to join together in the work of reclaiming our country through sustained non-violent action. Sign up for a local training near you and spread the word. CWA-Recommended Trainings.

Be a ‘Force Multiplier’ in the Fight Against Human Trafficking

Within a global struggle to combat a problem of epidemic proportions, Flight Attendants can play a key role in the fight against human trafficking. In a recent meeting between AFA International President Veda Shook and Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Secretary Janet Napolitano concerning aviation security issues, Secretary Napolitano invited AFA to participate in an initiative to involve aviation employees in the fight against human trafficking. The DHS Customs and Border Protection’s “Blue Lightning” initiative provides a voluntary mechanism to identify potential human trafficking victims and to notify federal authorities for front line workers at U.S. commercial airlines that operate U.S.-bound international routes.

“We like to talk about ‘boots on the ground’,” said Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Acting Commissioner David V. Aguilar. “Flight Attendants and airline employees will be the ‘boots in the air’ fighting human trafficking.” Human trafficking is a modern-day form of slavery. It is defined as:

- the recruitment, harboring, transport, provision or obtaining of a person by force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery; or
- Sex trafficking, in which a commercial sex act is induced by force fraud or coercion—or the person induced to perform such acts is under age 18.

It is estimated that at least 12.3 million adults and children are enslaved around the world and that 56 percent are women and girls. The International Labor Organization (ILO) estimated that in 2005, 980,000 to 1,225,000 boys and girls were in forced labor situations as a result of trafficking. In 2000, Congress passed the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act, which launched a large-scale effort by the U.S. government to fight human trafficking.

In 2010, Secretary Napolitano launched the Blue Campaign—a first-of-its-kind initiative to coordinate and enhance the Department’s anti-human trafficking efforts. The components of the Department of Homeland Security combat human trafficking through a variety of programs, and the Blue Campaign coordinates and unites this work.

The ‘Blue Lightning Initiative’ is coordinated as part of the Blue Campaign by CBP in collaboration with the Department of Transportation. The key to the success of this initiative, however, is the participation of the frontline workers in the airline industry.

As Flight Attendants, we are uniquely positioned to identify human traffickers and assist their victims. Flight crews are skilled observers, and with the appropriate training, they can be the frontline against trafficking, according to CBP. Indicators of human trafficking can include: physical control of travel documents of an adult traveler by a co-traveler; restricting the movement and social interaction of an adult traveler by a co-traveler; an adult traveler who is unclear on details of his/her final destination or point of contact; or a child traveler who appears to be accompanied by someone claiming to be the parent or guardian who is in fact not related to the child. Blue Lightning will roll out a training program in
I did not.

From: Chandler, Matthew
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 10:32 PM
To: [redacted]

Did anyone receive any incoming from the Caller?

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 10:30 PM
To: Chandler, Matthew; Boogaard, Peter; [redacted]

This is interesting... Just posted

- Matthew Boyle
May 1

A staffer for President Barack Obama’s Department of Homeland Security is praising the left-wing “May Day” protests. On Tuesday evening, Nate Snyder, the special adviser to Homeland Security Secretary Janet Napolitano for “Community Partnership and Strategic Engagement,” tweeted “Happy May Day! #solidarity.”

Snyder has his current Homeland Security post since March 2011, according to his LinkedIn page. He was previously the department’s Deputy White House Liaison. Before joining the Obama administration, Snyder worked for the Service Employees International Union, and for Obama’s 2008 presidential campaign.

May Day is a day on which socialist party faithful and labor unions have traditionally held massive anti-capitalist protests, and on Tuesday some liberal organizers used the occasion to rekindle the Occupy Wall Street movement’s flames.

After a winter during which the Occupy movement appeared to have died off, organizers are trying to give it new life this spring by holding protests alongside traditional May Day protests.

This week Occupy organizers have been tied to sending letters containing white powder to executives at News Corporation — the parent company of Fox News and The Wall Street Journal — and Citicorp.

There have also been suspicions — despite vehement denials from movement leaders — that Occupy activists were involved in a failed plot to blow up a bridge in Cleveland, Ohio. FBI agents foiled the alleged plot by inserting an informant into the gang, and arrested five suspects on Tuesday.
Never been used.

Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
Boogaard, Peter

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 8:28 AM
To: Davis, Robert M
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Re: statement -- smaller group -- NOC reference

Haven’t used. Don’t plan to. Happy to discuss.

From: Davis, Robert M
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 08:26 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group -- NOC reference

Pete,
Have we used this statement yet?
There is still considerable angst over here about this so if we end up not using it that would be a good thing.
Please keep me and (b) (6) posted.
Thanks.
Bob

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 4:51 PM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Cc: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Re: statement -- smaller group -- NOC reference

We will cater to specific requests.

From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 04:48 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Cc: Davis, Robert M
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group -- NOC reference

Sorry to keep coming back to this, but do we plan to provide the BACKGROUND part of the statement only if it is responsive to a particular question about when DHS engages in social media monitoring? If we provide it in response to general questions about the report, I think it will create confusion. The NOC had nothing to do with this report.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:37 AM
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen
Cc: Davis, Robert M
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

I’m told we are fine with traditional. Once WH clears, we will be all good. thanks everyone.

From: Durkovich, Caitlin
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:35 AM
From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 10:48 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

Thoughts here? Haven't gotten any additional requests, but want to be prepared.

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 9:38 AM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

From William Flynn.

From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 9:31 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Re: statement -- smaller group

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 09:22 AM  
To: Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Cc: Davis, Robert M
We will cater to specific requests.

From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 04:48 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group -- NOC reference

Sorry to keep coming back to this, but do we plan to provide the BACKGROUND part of the statement only if it is responsive to a particular question about when DHS engages in social media monitoring? If we provide it in response to general questions about the report, I think it will create confusion. The NOC had nothing to do with this report.

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:37 AM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

I'm told we are fine with traditional. Once WH clears, we will be all good. thanks everyone.

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:35 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

(b) (5)

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:30 AM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

Not sure I would classify Daily Kos as traditional media. Can we stick with news articles? I don't want to get hung up on semantics.

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:28 AM  
To: Flynn, William; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

I am good with this.
From: Spaulding, Suzanne
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 9:31 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin
Cc: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Re: statement -- smaller group

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 09:22 AM
To: Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin
Cc: Davis, Robert M
Subject: statement -- smaller group

Here is where we are. Are we comfortable with this description? Is this accurate?

At this point, I don’t believe it is to our benefit to re-engage with Rolling Stone. We would use this statement for any requests that follow.

Congress requires DHS’ National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
Occupy Wall Street activists are up in arms over a new report describing Homeland Security officials monitoring Occupy demonstrations, but a closer look reveals much ado about nothing.

The government paranoia machine took off last night after Rolling Stone contributor Michael Hastings published an exclusive on e-mails obtained from the global intelligence firm Stratfor via WikiLeaks. In his ominous appraisal of a Department of Homeland Security document, he notes that DHS officials read "OWS-related Twitter feeds" and other "publicly available sources" to keep tabs on the movement. "The government has a long and disturbing history of justifying the curtailing of civil liberties under the cover of perceived, and often manufactured, threats," he wrote. The article passed quickly through the Occupy information bloodstream.

"The DHS document appears to be more concerned with protecting the mechanisms of the financial sector than in ensuring the safety of citizens who are exercising their First Amendment rights," wrote Allison Kilkenny in Truthout. "The suppression of Occupy is nothing less than an attack on those who would try to exercises their civil liberties, their rights and seek to energize democracy," wrote Fire Dog Lake's Kevin Gosztola. The article was re-blogged across scores of Occupy tumblers including Anticapitalist, Occupy All Streets and #Occupy Wall Street.

On Current TV last night, host Cenk Uygur blasted the agency for focusing exclusively on Occupy Wall Street. "The Tea Party... that happens to be pro-corporate America is not anywhere to be found here [but] when Occupy Wall Street is not pro-corporate America, all of a sudden, they need to be investigated by the Department of Homeland Security." Of course, the Tea Party has also been observed by DHS but that's beside the point.

Is DHS really curtailing the rights of Occupiers? Outside of reading newspapers and Twitter about Occupy events, the report is merely a summary of the movement and an assessment of what dangers it may pose. As one line reads:

"Mass gatherings associated with public protest movements can have disruptive effects on transportation, commercial, and government services, especially when staged in major metropolitan areas. Large scale demonstrations also carry the potential for violence, presenting a significant challenge for law enforcement."
Yes, we are aware.

From: Richards, Steven
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 3:41 PM
To: Boogaard, Peter
Subject: FW: NPPD Reported on Occupy Wall Street
Importance: High

Peter—Assuming you are aware of this but sending it along regardless.

From: Mathews, Scott
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 3:30 PM
To: privdir
Subject: NPPD Reported on Occupy Wall Street
Importance: High

I just received this link from a colleague at I&A. Apparently NPPD drafted a report on OWS that has now been leaked on wikileaks. The link is to the article not to wikileaks. Also, I have attached the 5-page report to which AS refers.

Obviously, this did not come before PRIV for review as it is a NPPD product. I&A is not very happy (me too) after all that we did to make sure I&A and the fusion centers didn’t create any reports like this.


Scott
J. Scott Mathews, CIPP, Senior Privacy Analyst for Intelligence
Privacy Office, Department of Homeland Security
Tel: (b) (6) Email: HSCN (b) (6)
From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 2:48 PM
To: Davis, Robert M
Subject: Re: statement -- smaller group

We are done, but not releasing until we get more requests.
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

Not sure I would classify Daily Kos as traditional media. Can we stick with news articles? I don't want to get hung up on semantics.

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:28 AM  
To: Flynn, William; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

I am good with this.

From: Flynn, William  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:16 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

Here is our recommended comment:

Congress requires DHS’ National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

William F. Flynn,
Acting Assistant Secretary,
Office of Infrastructure Protection
US Department of Homeland Security

Thoughts here? Haven't gotten any additional requests, but want to be prepared.
Any thoughts?

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:40 AM
To: [redacted] Colburn, Brent
Subject: RE: RE:

Here is where we have landed. We haven't gotten any additional requests at this point, let me know if there are concerns. We will only send out if requested.

Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

From: [redacted] Colburn, Brent
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:35 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent
Subject: RE: RE:

Yeah, this was just my suggested (or something like it) second sentence.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:34 AM
To: [redacted] Colburn, Brent
Subject: Re:

Keep the first sentence and add this as the 2nd, right?
Here is where we have landed. We haven’t gotten any additional requests at this point, let me know if there are concerns. We will only send out if requested.

Congress requires DHS’ National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

William F. Flynn,
Acting Assistant Secretary,
Office of Infrastructure Protection
US Department of Homeland Security

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 10:48 AM
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin
Cc: Davis, Robert M
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

Thoughts here? Haven't gotten any additional requests, but want to be prepared.

From: Boogaard, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 9:38 AM
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Flynn, William; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin
Cc: Davis, Robert M
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

From William Flynn.
Net sure I would classify Daily Kos as traditional media. Can we stick with news articles? I don't want to get hung up on semantics.

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:28 AM  
To: Flynn, William; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

I am good with this.

From: Flynn, William  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 11:16 AM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Cc: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: RE: statement -- smaller group

Here is our recommended comment:

Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

William F. Flynn,  
Acting Assistant Secretary,  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
US Department of Homeland Security
At this point, I don’t believe it is to our benefit to re-engage with Rolling Stone. We would use this statement for any requests that follow.

Congress requires DHS’ National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) “to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers.” Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.
NOC TRACKER

Incident Number: 0048-12

Incident Title: Hazmat at White House – Washington, DC: MMC jabber report of hazmat at White House same time as Occupy protestors are there. (Formerly NOC #0013-12-004.)

Source Info: MMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>Analyst</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Jan 12</td>
<td>2126</td>
<td>DAH</td>
<td></td>
<td>(U//FOUO) UPDATE: Hazmat at White House – Washington, DC: MMC report of hazmat at White House same time as Occupy protestors are there. 2109: NIC open source report of smoke bombs thrown over White House fence. 2122: SWO called USSS; smoke bomb was set off; incident is wrapping up; most protestors have dispersed; no arrests made. 2126: Transferred to NOC #0048-12. NOC #0013-12-004 Closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2139</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAH</td>
<td></td>
<td>(U//FOUO) UPDATE: FOAG released.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2140</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAH</td>
<td></td>
<td>(U//FOUO) UPDATE: SWO briefed WHSR via DRSN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAH</td>
<td></td>
<td>(U//FOUO) UPDATE: Don Triner requested time of incident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2154</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAH</td>
<td></td>
<td>(U//FOUO) UPDATE: SWO reported that no time of incident was reported, but the dispersion of the group occurred around 2105EST.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2207</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAH</td>
<td></td>
<td>(U//FOUO) UPDATE: SWO sent closeout to SAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INCIDENT ARCHIVED.
Report: (Initial/Closeout) Open source reports and USSS confirms protestors threw a smoke bomb over the North White House fence. The situation is under control and most of the estimated 1,000 protestors have been dispersed. No arrests have been reportedly made, and authorities continue to be are on alert.

Local Actions: Local DC Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) and USSS responded to the scene and continue to investigate.

NOC Actions: Continue to coordinate with local MPD and USSS for further updates.

NOC Recommendation: Continue to monitor and provide updates as warranted.

Source: Open Sources and USSS.

Other: Some National and Local Media Attention.
Report: (Initial/Closeout) Open source reports and USSS confirms protestors threw a smoke bomb over the North White House fence. The situation is under control and most of the estimated 1,000 protestors have been dispersed. No arrests have been reportedly made, and authorities continue to be on alert.

Local Actions: Local DC Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) and USSS responded to the scene and continue to investigate.

NOC Actions: Continue to coordinate with local MPD and USSS for further updates.

NOC Recommendation: Continue to monitor and provide updates as warranted.

Source: Open Sources and USSS.

Other: Some National and Local Media Attention.
No exact time was reported or available, but it was reported that the dispersion of the group occurred around 2105 EST.

Senior Watch Officer  
National Operations Center  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Unclassified: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
Secure: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Time of occurrence would be useful.

Report: (Initial/Closeout) Open source reports and USSS confirms protestors threw a smoke bomb over the North White House fence. The situation is under control and most of the estimated 1,000 protestors have been dispersed. No arrests have been reportedly made, and authorities continue to be are on alert.

Local Actions: Local DC Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) and USSS responded to the scene and continue to investigate.

NOC Actions: Continue to coordinate with local MPD and USSS for further updates.

NOC Recommendation: Continue to monitor and provide updates as warranted.

Source: Open Sources and USSS.

Other: Some National and Local Media Attention.
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 9:59 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: FOAG -- Protest Incident at the White House - Washington, DC (NOC #0048-12)

Understood

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

DHS/OPS

From: (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 9:53 PM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: FOAG -- Protest Incident at the White House - Washington, DC (NOC #0048-12)

No exact time was reported or available, but it was reported that the dispersion of the group occurred around 2105 EST.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Senior Watch Officer
National Operations Center
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Unclassified: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Secure: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 9:51 PM
To: (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: FOAG -- Protest Incident at the White House - Washington, DC (NOC #0048-12)

Additional time of occurrence would be useful.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

DHS/OPS

From: (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 9:39 PM
To: (b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FOAG -- Protest Incident at the White House - Washington, DC (NOC #0048-12)

Report: (Initial/Closeout) Open source reports and USSS confirms protestors threw a smoke bomb over the North White House fence. The situation is under control and most of the estimated 1,000 protesters have been dispersed. No arrests have been reportedly made, and authorities continue to be are on alert.
Report: (Initial/Closeout) Open source reports and USSS confirms protestors threw a smoke bomb over the North White House fence. The situation is under control and most of the estimated 1,000 protestors have been dispersed. No arrests have been reportedly made, and authorities continue to be are on alert.

Local Actions: Local DC Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) and USSS responded to the scene and continue to investigate.

NOC Actions: Continue to coordinate with local MPD and USSS for further updates.

NOC Recommendation: Continue to monitor and provide updates as warranted.

Source: Open Sources and USSS.

Other: Some National and Local Media Attention.
Not Responsive

NDD Turnover
0600 EST 18 January 2012

- **FOAG--Initial/Closeout—(2139 EST 17 Jan)** Protest Incident at the White House - Washington, DC (NOC #0048-12). USSS confirms protestors threw a smoke bomb over the North White House fence. The situation is under control and most of the estimated 1,000 protestors have been dispersed. No arrests have been reportedly made, and authorities continue to be are on alert.

National and local media interests.
Very respectfully,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Duty Director
National Operations Center
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
(UNCLASSIFIED)
(SECRET)
BB: (202)
Pager: ()
(UNCLASSIFIED)
(HSDN)
(JWICS)
(GOLD)
For your situational awareness:

**Critical Infrastructure effects: Government Facilities**

The National Operations Center (NOC) reported at 2207 EST, on 17 JAN 12 that a smoke bomb was thrown over the North Fence at the White House.

- Initially Reported by the NOC as a suspicious package
- HAZMAT Responded Initially
- Upon further investigation Metro Police Department (MPD) and United States Secret Service (USSS) confirmed that a smoke bomb was thrown by protestors
- USSS and MPD state the situation is under control and continues to monitor the protestors

NICC continues to monitor this incident and will provide additional information if warranted.

* This information is based on initial reporting and is being provided for your situational awareness. Reporting may have inaccuracies due to a rapidly developing situation and is subject to change.

If you have any questions or concerns, contact the NICC at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) or (b)(6), (b)(7)(C). Thank you.

V/r,

NICC Watch Operations
Department of Homeland Security

Email: (b) (7)(C)

For more information on the NICC go to:
DHS National Infrastructure Coordinating Center

Distro List:
- Situational Awareness Base List
  - (b) (7)(C)
The information contained in this communication from the NICC may be sensitive, privileged, and or confidential and is not intended for third party distribution without the express approval of the NICC. Please address requests for further distribution, questions, or comments to the NICC by phone or email. If you are not an intended recipient of this transmission, the dissemination, distribution, copying or use of the information is strictly prohibited.